

ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.NBRIL/A/E/22/00001

Applicant Name Priyam srivastava

Text of Appeal I just want to know the status of recruitment 02/2021 for the post of junior assistant .

Reply of Appeal The Requested information is exempted under Section 2 (f) and 8 (h) of the RTI Act, 2005. Further, as per terms and conditions of the Advt. No. 02/2021, no interim enquiry and correspondence will be entertained.

SN.	Action Taken	Date of Action	Action Taken By	Remarks
1	FIRST APPEAL RECEIVED	20/04/2022		
2	APPEAL FORWARDED TO CONCERNED FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY	21/04/2022	Nodal Officer	Online
3	APPEAL DISPOSED OF	05/05/2022	FAA - P A Shirke Chief Scientist	

[Print](#)

ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.NBRIL/A/E/22/00002**Applicant Name** SHIVAM PAL**Text of Appeal**

Please provide valuable response and authentic information of Q1 to Q5 mentioned in RTI No. NBRIL/R/E/22/00003.....As per your Reply dated 23.03.2022,you have said Q1 and Q5 are not under this Act but why you have not replied on Q2,Q3Q4 or not provided valuable RTI Reply on Q2 to Q4?

Reply of Appeal

For Q.1 to Q.5: Queries are not covered under the definition of information under section 2(f) of the RTI Act, 2005. Hence, the appeal has been disposed of.

SN.	Action Taken	Date of Action	Action Taken By	Remarks
1	FIRST APPEAL RECEIVED	26/04/2022		
2	APPEAL FORWARDED TO CONCERNED FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY	27/04/2022	Nodal Officer	Online
3	APPEAL DISPOSED OF	24/05/2022	FAA - P A Shirke Chief Scientist	

Print



डॉ. पी ए शिर्के

मुख्य वैज्ञानिक एवं प्रथम अपीलीय अधिकारी

(आर.टी.आई. एक्ट 2005)

फ़ोन नं: 0522-2297928; ई-मेल: pashirke@nbri.res.in

दिनांक: 08.06.2022

सं: सीएसआईआर-एनबीआरआई/आरटीआई/एफएए/2022-03

प्रथम अपीलीय प्राधिकारी के समक्ष (एफ.ए.ए.)
(सूचना अधिकार अधिनियम 2005 के अन्तर्गत)
सीएसआईआर-राष्ट्रीय वनस्पति अनुसंधान संस्थान, लखनऊ
अपील संख्या - 03/2022

पार्टीज:-

अपीलकर्ता	प्रतिवादी
श्री अमित कुमार सैनी, 345/22 बड़ी शीतला देवी मंदिर, मेहंदीगंज, लखनऊ	जन सूचना अधिकारी (प्रशासन), सीएसआईआर, एनबीआरआई, लखनऊ 226001
<p>प्रथम अपीलीय अधिकारी डॉ. पी ए शिर्के मुख्य वैज्ञानिक</p>	

तथ्य:

श्री अमित कुमार सैनी, 345/22 बड़ी शीतला देवी मंदिर, मेहंदीगंज, लखनऊ ने अपने मूल आर.टी.आई. आवेदन NBRIL/ऑफलाइन/RTI/मार्च/01 दिनांक 02.03.2022, के संदर्भ में प्रथम अपील संख्या NBRIL/A/ऑफलाइन/01 दिनांक 02.05.2022 सीएसआईआर-एनबीआरआई, लखनऊ के समक्ष प्रस्तुत किया है।

अपील के आधार:

अपीलकर्ता ने प्रस्तुत अपील में उल्लेखित किया है कि मूल आर.टी.आई. NBRIL/ऑफलाइन/RTI/मार्च/01 दिनांक 02.03.2022 (के संदर्भ में अपीलकर्ता द्वारा मांगी गयी सूचना अपूर्ण हैं।

तथ्य:

अपीलकर्ता ने मूल आर.टी.आई सं. NBRIL/ऑफलाइन/RTI/मार्च/01 दिनांक 07.04.2022 के द्वारा आरटीआई हेतु आवेदन किया था। अपीलकर्ता के उपरोक्त आर.टी.आई. आवेदन के अनुक्रम में इस संस्थान के जन सूचना अधिकारी (प्रशासन), सीएसआईआर, एनबीआरआई, लखनऊ 226001 ने दिनांक 07.04.2022 को पत्र सं. NBRIL/ऑफलाइन/RTI/मार्च/01 के द्वारा निर्धारित समय में उत्तर दिया गया था।

निर्णय

अपीलकर्ता द्वारा मूल आर.टी.आई सं. NBRIL/ऑफलाइन/RTI/मार्च/01 दिनांक 07.04.2022 के संबंध में प्रस्तुत प्रथम अपील संख्या NBRIL/A/ऑफलाइन/01 दिनांक 02.05.2022 निर्णय निम्न लिखित हैं |

“अपीलकर्ता द्वारा मांगी गयी सूचना (वर्ष 2019 से पूर्व) का रिकॉर्ड अस्त-व्यस्त व विस्तृत है | चूंकि वांछित सूचना का रिकॉर्ड विभिन्न सूचना संरक्षकों के अधीन होने के कारण उसका त्वरित अवलोकन कर पाना संभव नहीं है अतः पूर्व के रिकॉर्ड का अवलोकन कर अपीलकर्ता को वांछित सूचना प्राप्ति के लिए सूचित कर दिया जायेगा” |

अतः प्रथम अपील उपरोक्त निर्णय के आधार पर तद्वसार निस्तारित की जाती है।

यदि आप उपरोक्त उत्तर से संतुष्ट न हों, तो 90 दिनों के भीतर द्वितीय अपीलीय अधिकारी से सम्पर्क कर सकते हैं। द्वितीय अपीलीय अधिकारी से सम्पर्क करने हेतु नाम एवं पता निम्न प्रकार है:-

Central Information Commission,
Room No. 326, 2nd Floor,
August Kranti Bhawan, Bhikaji Cama Place,
New Delhi – 110066

स्थान:- लखनऊ
दिनांक: 08 जून, 2022

(पी ए शर्मा)
प्रथम अपीलीय प्राधिकारी
सीएसआईआर-एनबीआरआई, लखनऊ

सेवा में,
श्री अमित कुमार सैनी, 345/22 बड़ी शीतला देवी मंदिर, मेहंदीगंज, लखनऊ

प्रति:

1. जन सूचना अधिकारी (प्रशासन), सीएसआईआर, एनबीआरआई, लखनऊ 226001

ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.NBRIL/A/E/22/00003**Applicant Name**

SACHIN MEHROTRA

Text of Appeal

On going through the reply given by CPIO(S&P), CSIR-NBRI vide letter No. NBRI/RTI/PUR/02/2022-23 dated 08.07.2022 and letter No. RTI/2022/NBRI issued to Sh. Dinesh Kumar, it is found that File numbers of only three cases were mentioned in the letter i.e., CIC/NBRIL/A/2020/685888, CIC/NBRIL/A/2020/685852 and CIC/NBRIL/A/2020/685907. Warning in the fourth case CIC/NBRIL/A/2020/686019 has not been issued to Sh. Dinesh Kumar yet. Further a note dated 27.05.2022 which was sent to COA office by CPIO(S&P) is attached with the reply however the copy of the warning served to the FAA by the office was not enclosed here. Hence the information given by the CPIO(S&P) is incomplete yet. I, therefore, appeal against the reply of the CPIO and request the FAA to provide the following as already requested in my RTI application:-
1. Certified copy of warning issued to Sh. Dinesh Kumar in the File No. CIC/NBRIL/A/2020/686019. 2. Certified Copy of the warning issued to the FAA.

Reply of Appeal

Reply of Appeal No. Reply of Appeal No. NBRIL/A/E/22/00003 dated 02.08.2022- The certified copy of warnings issued to Shri Dinesh Kumar in the file no. CIC/NBRIL/A/2020/686019 and a warning issued to the FAA is attached for your reference. Therefore, the appeal has been disposed of.

SN.	Action Taken	Date of Action	Action Taken By	Remarks
1	FIRST APPEAL RECEIVED	02/08/2022		
2	APPEAL FORWARDED TO CONCERNED FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY	03/08/2022	Nodal Officer	Online
3	APPEAL DISPOSED OF	07/09/2022	FAA - P A Shirke Chief Scientist	

Print

ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.NBRIL/A/E/22/00004**Applicant Name** Rana Ranvijay Thakur**Text of Appeal**

Sir, it is a matter of concern for implementation of RTI Act, 2005 in its real spirit as being a National Lab of India and having a well educated manpower showing such reluctance towards the RTI Act, 2005 and Official Language Policy of Union of India is really alarming. Please provide me a suitable, satisfactory, factual and pointwise complete reply into the matter. A good and sufficient time has elapsed but your CPIO has not replied my RTI application NBRIL/R/X/22/00048 till date. With regards, RR Thakur

Reply of Appeal

Reference to the Appeal no. NBRIL/A/E/22/00004 dated 28.08.2022 for RTI Application No. NBRIL/R/X/22/00048 dated 12.07.2022, the reply received from the concern CPIO is being forwarded to the applicant. CSIR-NBRI is committed to provide timely reply for the RTI Applications. A warning has been issued to the concern CPIO for late reply of RTI application. A copy of the same is attached for the reference. Therefor, the appeal is disposed of.

SN.	Action Taken	Date of Action	Action Taken By	Remarks
1	FIRST APPEAL RECEIVED	28/08/2022		
2	APPEAL FORWARDED TO CONCERNED FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY	29/08/2022	Nodal Officer	Online
3	APPEAL DISPOSED OF	28/09/2022	FAA - P A Shirke Chief Scientist	

Print

ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.NBRIL/A/E/22/00005**Applicant Name**

Rana Ranvijay Thakur

Text of Appeal

Sir, it is a matter of concern for implementation of RTI Act, 2005 in its real spirit as being a National Lab of India and having a well educated manpower showing such reluctance towards the RTI Act, 2005 and Official Language Policy of Union of India is really alarming. Please provide me a suitable, satisfactory, factual and pointwise complete reply into the matter. A good and sufficient time has elapsed but your CPIO has not replied my RTI application NBRIL/R/X/22/00052 till date. The reply has neither has been uploaded on RTI MIS nor it has been received at my email id ranaranvijaythakur@gmail.com as requested in my original RTI application. With regards, RR Thakur

Reply of Appeal

Reference to the Appeal no. NBRIL/A/E/22/00005 dated 28.08.2022 for RTI Application No. NBRIL/R/X/22/00052 dated 19.07.2022, the reply received from the concern CPIO is being forwarded to the applicant. CSIR-NBRI is committed to provide timely replies to the RTI Applications. A warning has been issued to the concern CPIO for late reply of RTI application. A copy of the same is attached for reference. Therefor, the appeal is disposed of.

SN.	Action Taken	Date of Action	Action Taken By	Remarks
1	FIRST APPEAL RECEIVED	28/08/2022		
2	APPEAL FORWARDED TO CONCERNED FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY	29/08/2022	Nodal Officer	Online
3	APPEAL DISPOSED OF	28/09/2022	FAA - P A Shirke Chief Scientist	

Print

ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.NBRIL/A/E/22/00006**Applicant Name** KRISHH SHARMA**Text of Appeal**

My RTI application was rejected taking reference of section 8 1 (j) of RTI Act 2005. The information sought is noway related to personal information as i have just asked for attendance sheet of all the staff s, Research assistants, Project Assistant, Project Associate-1 in the lab of Dr. Brahma N. Singh. I haven't asked the staff s, Research assistants, Project Assistant, Project Associate-1 conatct details , address, fathers name anything personal. And attendance or name of a person working in a government institution/organisations (other than those exempted in 2nd schedule of section 24 of RTI Act 2005) is not personal information. The larger public activity or interest lies in the above information which will be clear from the following points: 1) There may be misappropriation of funds against the vague names or attendance made. 2) There may be more number of staff s, Research assistants, Project Assistant, Project Associate-1 on paper than in actual. There are many more possibilities which can only be cleared after the above information is provided. Hence, in the public interest, I request you for the sought information to be provided.

Reply of Appeal

The information sought is related to personal information and the disclosure of which has no relationship to any public activity or interest, or which would cause unwarranted invasion of the privacy of the individual. Therefore, the information is exempted under section 8 (1) (j) of RTI Act-2005. Hence, the appeal is being disposed of.

SN.	Action Taken	Date of Action	Action Taken By	Remarks
1	FIRST APPEAL RECEIVED	21/09/2022		
2	APPEAL FORWARDED TO CONCERNED FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY	26/09/2022	Nodal Officer	Online
3	APPEAL DISPOSED OF	26/10/2022	FAA - P A Shirke Chief Scientist	

Print

ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.NBRIL/A/E/22/00007				
Applicant Name		Vinod Rishi		
Text of Appeal		<p>प्रार्थी द्वारा दिनांक 06/10/2022 को आपके संस्थान में आर.टी.आई. प्रार्थना पत्र दिया था, जिसका की पंजीकरण क्रमांक NBRIL/R/E/22/00026 है (कृपया संलग्नक "क" देखें)। इस सन्दर्भ में प्रार्थी को संस्थान द्वारा दिनांक 01/11/2022 (लगभग 25 दिनों के उपरान्त) सूचना के अधिकार के अधिनियम 2005 सेक्शन 8(1)(J) का हवाला देते हुए सूचना देने से इनकार कर दिया गया।</p>		
Reply of Appeal		<p>The information sought is related to personal information and the disclosure of which has no relationship to any public activity or interest, or which would cause unwarranted invasion of the privacy of the individual. Therefore, the information is exempted under section 8 (1) (j) of RTI Act-2005. Hence, the appeal is being disposed of. (In this regard Decision of Hon'ble Supreme Court CLP No. 27734 dated 03/10/2012 Girish Ramchandra Deshpande Vs Cen. Information Commissioner & Others is also attached for reference) Hence, the appeal has been disposed of.</p>		
SN.	Action Taken	Date of Action	Action Taken By	Remarks
1	FIRST APPEAL RECEIVED	10/11/2022		
2	APPEAL FORWARDED TO CONCERNED FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY	11/11/2022	Nodal Officer	Online
3	APPEAL DISPOSED OF	08/12/2022	FAA - P A Shirke Chief Scientist	
<div>Print</div>				

ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.NBRIL/A/E/23/00001				
Applicant Name		Santosh Shukla		
Text of Appeal		Dear Sir, Please provide the requested information as it has been denied by RTI officer. Dear RTI Officer, 1. Please provide copy of complaints, RTI application made by Dr Sudershan Kumar , Former NBRI Scientist against Santosh Shukla Former NBRI Scientist from Year 2006 to till now. 2. Please provide copy of reply submitted to Dr Sudershan Kumar and other agencies with reference to query-1. 3. Please provide copy of complaints, RTI application made by persons other than Dr Sudershan Kumar against Santosh Shukla Former NBRI Scientist from Year 2006 to till now. 4. Please provide copy of reply submitted to the persons and other agencies with reference to query-3. Please provide information under RTI Act.		
Reply of Appeal		The required information for the appeal No. NBRIL/A/E/00001 dated 16/01/2023 as provided by Information Custodian is attached. Therefore, the appeal has been disposed of.		
SN.	Action Taken	Date of Action	Action Taken By	Remarks
1	FIRST APPEAL RECEIVED	16/01/2023		
2	APPEAL FORWARDED TO CONCERNED FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY	18/01/2023	Nodal Officer	Online
3	APPEAL DISPOSED OF	21/02/2023	FAA - P A Shirke Chief Scientist	
<div>Print</div>				

ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.NBRIL/A/E/23/00002				
Applicant Name		SACHIN MEHROTRA		
Text of Appeal		With reference to the reply of PIO-Admin dated 02.01.2023, it is to state that I am currently residing in Bhavnagar, Gujarat and as it is not possible for me to visit Lucknow for the inspection of records. In view of this, it is again requested to provide me certified copies of the complete personal file as requested in my original RTI application.		
Reply of Appeal		With reference to RTI Appeal No. NBRIL/A/E/23/00002 dated 23/1/2023, applicant may deposit Rs. 2/- per page a total amount of Rs. 952/- for the requested information of pages 476. The copies of the required document will be provided to the appellant by the CPIO (Admin) on depositing the said amount. Hence the appeal has been disposed of.		
SN.	Action Taken	Date of Action	Action Taken By	Remarks
1	FIRST APPEAL RECEIVED	23/01/2023		
2	APPEAL FORWARDED TO CONCERNED FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY	23/01/2023	Nodal Officer	Online
3	APPEAL DISPOSED OF	14/03/2023	FAA - P A Shirke Chief Scientist	
<div>Print</div>				

ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.NBRIL/A/E/23/00003				
Applicant Name		SACHIN MEHROTRA		
Text of Appeal		<p>After going through the reply of the Pio-Finance uploaded on the portal on, the following discrepancies have been found: 1. The copies of expenditure statement provided are not clear and the figures are not readable. Kindly provide readable copies of the documents. 2. The statement of the year 2015-16 is incomplete as some of the columns are missing. Kindly provide final expenditure statement complete in all respects. 3. The statement of the year 2016-17 is of IGIB, New Delhi and not NBRI, Lucknow as mentioned in the statement itself. Kindly provide the statement of NBRI, Lucknow. 4. Some of the copies of Expenditure statements (such as Year 2015-16) are showing the Column of Anticipated expenditure which indicates that the final expenditure statement is not provided. Kindly provide the final Expenditure statements for all the years mentioned in the RTI application. 5. The documents provided are not certified by any authority. Kindly provide certified copies of all documents. 6. No documents or reply was provided regarding point no. 2 & 3 of the RTI request. The complete details regarding surrender of funds as per point No. 2 and 3 of the RTI application may kindly be provided. Copies of letters/email/documents vide which the funds were surrendered and intimated to CSIR Headquarters should be provided. In view of above, I appeal to the FAA to provide me with the copies of complete documents certified by the competent authority. It must also be ensured that the copies are clearly readable.</p>		
Reply of Appeal		<p>The required information as provided by Information Custodian (from Point 1 to 6) for the Appeal No. NBRIL/A/E/00003 dated 23/01/2023 is attached. Due to the large file size of the attachment file, the reply is also being sent by email. Therefore, the appeal has been disposed of.</p>		
SN.	Action Taken	Date of Action	Action Taken By	Remarks
1	FIRST APPEAL RECEIVED	23/01/2023		
2	APPEAL FORWARDED TO CONCERNED FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY	23/01/2023	Nodal Officer	Online
3	APPEAL DISPOSED OF	22/02/2023	FAA - P A Shirke Chief Scientist	
<div>Print</div>				

ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.NBRIL/A/E/23/00004				
Applicant Name		SACHIN MEHROTRA		
Text of Appeal		<p>With reference to the reply dated 02.01.2023 of the CPIO (Stores and Purchase), it is to state that I have already asked for specific information regarding the bills of the years 2017-18 and 2018-19 and certain other records related to those bills that were said to be delayed by me as mentioned by the Director, NBRI and my Reviewing Officer Prof. S.K. Barik in his comments dated 20.12.2020 forwarded to CSIR Headquarters in response to my representation dated 01.07.2020. Based on those remarks, the Competent Authority, the Director General, CSIR has disposed of my representation in favour of Director, NBRI vide his orders dated 20.12.2021. Since the accusation of the delay have been framed against me by NBRI, Lucknow through its Director, it is now obligatory upon NBRI office to provide the documents concerning to the allegations raised by him as the same has caused a lot of harm to me and has mitigated my image throughout the CSIR system resulting in my non-promotion by the DPC. Asking the applicant to inspect and find the records delayed by him seems to be a mockery of the whole Act. The Head of the Institution i.e., the Director who holds a responsible position has blamed me for delay in passing the bills and it should be based on certain records available with the office. The CPIO and the office of NBRI is bound to provide the concerned records now. As my address has already suggested that I am currently residing in Bhavnagar, Gujarat and it is not possible for me to travel to Lucknow for inspection of records, I request the FAA to provide me with the certified copies of the specific information requested by me in my RTI application for both the years 2017-18 and 2018-19.</p>		
Reply of Appeal		<p>The reply for the Appeal No. NBRIL/A/E/23/00004 dated 23.01.2023 has been given to the Appellant by concerned CPIO within the stipulated time on 21.02.2023. (Copy Attached). Hence, the appeal has been disposed of.</p>		
SN.	Action Taken	Date of Action	Action Taken By	Remarks
1	FIRST APPEAL RECEIVED	23/01/2023		
2	APPEAL FORWARDED TO CONCERNED FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY	23/01/2023	Nodal Officer	Online
3	APPEAL DISPOSED OF	23/03/2023	FAA - P A Shirke Chief Scientist	
<div>Print</div>				

ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.NBRIL/A/E/23/00005				
Applicant Name		SACHIN MEHROTRA		
Text of Appeal		<p>With reference to the reply dated 02.01.2023 of the CPIO (Stores and Purchase), it is to state that I have already asked for specific information regarding the bills of the years 2019-20 and certain other records related to those bills that were said to be delayed intentionally by me resulting in surrender of funds as mentioned by the Director, NBRI and my Reviewing Officer Prof. S.K. Barik in the pen picture column of my O-APAR of the year 2019-20 a copy of which was communicated to me vide NBRI OM dated 11.10.2022. I have already represented against the remarks and ratings of the O-APAR to DG, CSIR. Since the accusation of the delay have been framed against me by NBRI, Lucknow through its Director, it is now obligatory upon NBRI office to provide the documents concerning to the allegations raised by him as the same has caused a lot of harm to me and has mitigated my image throughout the CSIR system and may affect the outcome of my upcoming DPC. Asking the applicant to inspect and find the records delayed by him by himself seems to be a mockery of the whole Act. The Head of the Institution i.e., the Director who holds a responsible position has blamed me for delay in passing the bills and it should be based on certain records available with the office. The CPIO and the office of NBRI is bound to provide the concerned records now. As my address has already suggested that I am currently residing in Bhavnagar, Gujarat and it is not possible for me to go to Lucknow for inspection of records, I request the FAA to provide me with the certified copies of the specific information requested by me in my RTI application for the year 2019-20.</p>		
Reply of Appeal		<p>The reply for the Appeal No. NBRIL/A/E/23/00005 dated 23.01.2023 has been given to the Appellant by concerned CPIO within the stipulated time on 21.02.2023. (Copy Attached). Hence, the appeal has been disposed of.</p>		
SN.	Action Taken	Date of Action	Action Taken By	Remarks
1	FIRST APPEAL RECEIVED	23/01/2023		
2	APPEAL FORWARDED TO CONCERNED FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY	23/01/2023	Nodal Officer	Online
3	APPEAL DISPOSED OF	23/03/2023	FAA - P A Shirke Chief Scientist	
<div>Print</div>				

ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.NBRIL/A/E/23/00006				
Applicant Name		MANOJ KUMAR		
Text of Appeal		Dear sir, applicant is staying at Raipur C.G and information required online, but seems NBRI is not wiling to provide the information required. interview process was transparent then replying that documents is in different files what does it indicates. . suppressing the information Required information 1.Candidate selected against advertise post is working in NBRI since how long.....		
Reply of Appeal		The requested information asked by the applicant has not been refused. However, the information sought is not available in the requested format with the information custodian. The appellant can visit the office, with prior intimation on any working day for the requested information. Hence the appeal has been disposed of.		
SN.	Action Taken	Date of Action	Action Taken By	Remarks
1	FIRST APPEAL RECEIVED	28/01/2023		
2	APPEAL FORWARDED TO CONCERNED FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY	30/01/2023	Nodal Officer	Online
3	APPEAL DISPOSED OF	14/03/2023	FAA - P A Shirke Chief Scientist	
<div>Print</div>				

ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.NBRIL/A/E/23/00007				
Applicant Name		Manoj Kumar		
Text of Appeal		Dear sir, applicant is staying at Raipur C.G and information required online but seems NBRI is not willing to provide the information required. interview process was transparent then replying that documents is in different files what does it indicates. . suppressing the information Required information. 1.Candidate selected against advertise post is working in NBRI since how long.....		
Reply of Appeal		The requested information asked by the applicant has not been refused. However, the information sought is not available in the requested format with the information custodian. The appellant can visit the office, with prior intimation on any working day for the requested information. Hence the appeal has been disposed of.		
SN.	Action Taken	Date of Action	Action Taken By	Remarks
1	FIRST APPEAL RECEIVED	28/01/2023		
2	APPEAL FORWARDED TO CONCERNED FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY	30/01/2023	Nodal Officer	Online
3	APPEAL DISPOSED OF	14/03/2023	FAA - P A Shirke Chief Scientist	
<div>Print</div>				

ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.NBRIL/A/E/23/00008				
Applicant Name		Manoj Kumar		
Text of Appeal		Dear sir, applicant is staying at Raipur C.G and information required online but seems NBRI is not willing to provide the information required. interview process was transparent then replying that documents is in different files what does it indicates. . suppressing the information Required information. 1.Candidate selected against advertise post is working in NBRI since how long.....		
Reply of Appeal		The requested information asked by the applicant has not been refused. However, the information sought is not available in the requested format with the information custodian. The appellant can visit the office, with prior intimation on any working day for the requested information. Hence the appeal has been disposed of.		
SN.	Action Taken	Date of Action	Action Taken By	Remarks
1	FIRST APPEAL RECEIVED	28/01/2023		
2	APPEAL FORWARDED TO CONCERNED FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY	30/01/2023	Nodal Officer	Online
3	APPEAL FORWARDED TO CONCERNED FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY	30/01/2023	Nodal Officer	Online
4	APPEAL DISPOSED OF	14/03/2023	FAA - P A Shirke Chief Scientist	
<div>Print</div>				

ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.NBRIL/A/E/23/00009				
Applicant Name		Manoj Kumar		
Text of Appeal		Dear sir, applicant is staying at Raipur C.G and information required online but seems NBRI is not willing to provide the information required. interview process was transparent then replying that documents is in different files what does it indicates. . suppressing the information Required information. 1.Candidate selected against advertise post is working in NBRI since how long.....		
Reply of Appeal		The requested information asked by the applicant has not been refused. However, the information sought is not available in the requested format with the information custodian. The appellant can visit the office, with prior intimation on any working day for the requested information. Hence the appeal has been disposed of.		
SN.	Action Taken	Date of Action	Action Taken By	Remarks
1	FIRST APPEAL RECEIVED	28/01/2023		
2	APPEAL FORWARDED TO CONCERNED FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY	30/01/2023	Nodal Officer	Online
3	APPEAL FORWARDED TO CONCERNED FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY	30/01/2023	Nodal Officer	Online
4	APPEAL DISPOSED OF	14/03/2023	FAA - P A Shirke Chief Scientist	
<div>Print</div>				

ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.NBRIL/A/E/23/00010				
Applicant Name		Manoj Kumar		
Text of Appeal		Dear sir, applicant is staying at Raipur C.G and information required online but seems NBRI is not willing to provide the information required. interview process was transparent then replying that documents is in different files what does it indicates. . suppressing the information Required information. 1.Candidate selected against advertise post is working in NBRI since how long.....		
Reply of Appeal		The requested information asked by the applicant has not been refused. However, the information sought is not available in the requested format with the information custodian. The appellant can visit the office, with prior intimation on any working day for the requested information. Hence the appeal has been disposed of.		
SN.	Action Taken	Date of Action	Action Taken By	Remarks
1	FIRST APPEAL RECEIVED	28/01/2023		
2	APPEAL FORWARDED TO CONCERNED FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY	30/01/2023	Nodal Officer	Online
3	APPEAL DISPOSED OF	14/03/2023	FAA - P A Shirke Chief Scientist	
<div>Print</div>				

ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.NBRIL/A/E/23/00011				
Applicant Name		Manoj Kumar		
Text of Appeal		Dear sir, applicant is staying at Raipur C.G and information required online but seems NBRI is not willing to provide the information required. interview process was transparent then replying that documents is in different files what does it indicates. . suppressing the information Required information. 1.Candidate selected against advertise post is working in NBRI since how long.....		
Reply of Appeal		The requested information asked by the applicant has not been refused. However, the information sought is not available in the requested format with the information custodian. The appellant can visit the office, with prior intimation on any working day for the requested information. Hence the appeal has been disposed of.		
SN.	Action Taken	Date of Action	Action Taken By	Remarks
1	FIRST APPEAL RECEIVED	28/01/2023		
2	APPEAL FORWARDED TO CONCERNED FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY	30/01/2023	Nodal Officer	Online
3	APPEAL DISPOSED OF	14/03/2023	FAA - P A Shirke Chief Scientist	
<div>Print</div>				

ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.NBRIL/A/E/23/00012				
Applicant Name		Manoj Kumar		
Text of Appeal		Dear sir, applicant is staying at Raipur C.G and information required online but seems NBRI is not willing to provide the information required. interview process was transparent then replying that documents is in different files what does it indicates. . suppressing the information Required information. 1.Candidate selected against advertise post is working in NBRI since how long.....		
Reply of Appeal		The requested information asked by the applicant has not been refused. However, the information sought is not available in the requested format with the information custodian. The appellant can visit the office, with prior intimation on any working day for the requested information. Hence the appeal has been disposed of.		
SN.	Action Taken	Date of Action	Action Taken By	Remarks
1	FIRST APPEAL RECEIVED	28/01/2023		
2	APPEAL FORWARDED TO CONCERNED FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY	30/01/2023	Nodal Officer	Online
3	APPEAL DISPOSED OF	14/03/2023	FAA - P A Shirke Chief Scientist	
<div>Print</div>				

ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.NBRIL/A/E/23/00013				
Applicant Name		Gagan S		
Text of Appeal		<p>To, Mr P.A.Shirke, First Appellate Authority pashirke@nbri.res.in Sir, I am not satisfied with the reply given against my RTI and I didn't get complete information. In addition, I would like to contest point No 4 in the reply which clearly states and I quote, "If you want to see the noting/remark of the officials, then you can inspect the same after taking the prior permission of the officials for the date and time." Please enlighten me which section of RTI Act directs/compel/advise/force etc an applicant to seek prior permission from the official to see noting/remark on the file? Chapter II under Obligation of public authorities (1)(d) of RTI Act states "Every public authority shall provide reasons for its administrative or quasi-judicial decisions to affected persons." To further strengthen my case, I would like to submit that exemptions from disclosure of information defined under Section 8(1)(j) of RTI Act lists exemptions where information pertains to or deals with security, sovereignty, strategic, breach of privilege of Parliament, contempt of court, commercial confidence, trade secrets, information received in confidence from foreign governments, information which would endanger the life or physical safety of any person, cabinet papers etc. My RTI query to seek noting/remark in financial matter doesn't qualify in above mentioned categories nor it falls under Official Secrets Act. I request you to kindly furnish the noting/remark in RTI reply. Whether it is case of fixing/calculation of salary/pension/arrears/dues/compensation etc in any Organisation/Institute/PSUs/Corporations etc there is a defined method/formula/calculation to arrive at the final amount. Nowhere, the final amount is fixed in arbitrary manner. So, I request you to provide me the detailed calculation/formula/method to arrive at the final amount mentioned in original RTI. I asked them to furnish the detailed method/calculation/formula but the same is not provided in the RTI reply. Only O.M. is quoted. Therefore, I humbly request you to provide me all replies for the information sought in the original RTI. I would be grateful if you do the same. Thanks Regards Gagan</p>		
Reply of Appeal		<p>श्री गगन एस की मूल आर.टी.आई. no NBRIL/R/E/23/00003 दिनांक 05.02.2023 के उत्तर में उनको संस्थान के जन सूचना अधिकारी से दिनांक एवं समय का निर्धारण करके दस्तावेजों के अवलोकन हेतु अनुरोध किया गया था, क्योंकि सूचना जिस रूप में मांगी गई थी उसका अधिकांश अंश जैसे कौन सा फार्मूला लागू हुआ है इत्यादि, के रूप में उपलब्ध नहीं थी। किसी भी प्रकार की सूचना प्रदान करने से मना नहीं किया गया था। दस्तावेजों का अवलोकन करने के पश्चात् आवेदक जिस दस्तावेजों की प्रतिलिपियों निर्धारित शुल्क जमा करने के साथ आवेदन करने पर प्रदान कर दी जाएगी। अपीलकर्ता संस्थान के जन सूचना अधिकारी से पूर्व अनुमति/समय लेकर उक्त दस्तावेजों का अवलोकन कर सकते हैं। अतः प्रथम अपील संख्या NBRIL/A/E/23/00013 दिनांक 01.03.2023 उपरोक्त निर्णय के आधार पर तद्नुसार निस्तारित की जाती है।</p>		
SN.	Action Taken	Date of Action	Action Taken By	Remarks
1	FIRST APPEAL RECEIVED	01/03/2023		
2	APPEAL FORWARDED TO CONCERNED FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY	20/03/2023	Nodal Officer	Online
3	APPEAL DISPOSED OF	03/04/2023	FAA - P A Shirke Chief Scientist	
<div>Print</div>				

ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.NBRIL/A/E/23/00014				
Applicant Name		Surender Singh Saini		
Text of Appeal		According to the reply of PIO, the Letter No. NBRIL/R/X/23/00014 Dated 28.02.2023 is attached, but I could not find any attachment. Please supply the same.		
Reply of Appeal		The reply of the RTI No. NBRIL/R/X/23/00014 dated 21.02.2023 was given to the applicant within the stipulated time frame. Although, mistakenly the soft copy of the reply was not uploaded on the RTI Portal by the CPIO (Admin) on 28-02-2023. On realization of the mistake, the hard copy of the reply was immediately sent to the applicant via speed post (04-03-2023), and the same has been delivered to the applicant on 09.03.2022. (Tracking details are attached). The copy of the reply for the RTI No. NBRIL/R/X/23/00014 dated 21.02.2023 is being again provided to the applicant. Hence, the appeal has been disposed of.		
SN.	Action Taken	Date of Action	Action Taken By	Remarks
1	FIRST APPEAL RECEIVED	06/03/2023		
2	APPEAL FORWARDED TO CONCERNED FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY	21/03/2023	Nodal Officer	Online
3	APPEAL DISPOSED OF	24/03/2023	FAA - P A Shirke Chief Scientist	
<div>Print</div>				

ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.NBRIL/A/E/23/00015				
Applicant Name		GAGAN SHARGA		
Text of Appeal		<p>Mr.P.A.Shirke, Chief Scientist, First Appellate Authority pa.shirke.nbri.res.in Sir, I would like to humbly submit that the concerned official has stated in the reply to query No.2 that as per Order passed by CIC on 21-04-2006 the RTI Act does not cast on the public authority any obligation to answer queries, as in this case, in which a petitioner attempts to elicit answers to his questions with prefixes such as why, what, when and whether. First of all, I would like to submit that the said official has not quoted the relevant order No of Central Information Commission but has mentioned the month and year. In year 2006 there may be many orders/decisions by CIC so which order he referred to in his reply? Please provide me the relevant CIC order copy of 2006. It is a vague reply. Secondly, The Hon'ble Commission vide order No CIC/SG/A/2008/00347+00277/1554 dated 09-02-2009 clearly states, "RTI Act does not state queries must not be answered nor does it stipulate that prefix such as "why, what, when and whether cannot be used." In decision, the Commission states, "the PIO errs in imposing new set of non-existent exemptions." Whether such decision has been reversed in any subsequent decisions of the Central Information Commission. If so, please furnish the details. Else 2009 decision of CIC reverses the decision of 2006 which official has quoted. And if the official knew about CIC decision in 2009, quoted above, then it is a case of wilfully providing misleading information to the applicant under RTI Act. Therefore, I would request you to kindly accept my appeal and provide me the relevant information sought in original RTI in query no.2 Thanks Gagan</p>		
Reply of Appeal		<p>In the light of the appeal no. NBRIL/A/E/00015 dated 03.04.2023 submitted by Shri Gagan Sharga, the following is submitted by the information custodian for the point no. 2 of the RTI application no. NBRIL/R/T/23/00006 dated 07.03.2023: "As per 6th CPC, the Pay Band/Pay Scale and Grade Pay of Scientist-4 (5) is Rs. 37400-67000 (GP-8900). Therefore, as per 6th CPC, minimum pension of Scientist -4 (5) is Rs. 23150/- i.e. 50 % of the minimum of the Pay Band/Pay Scale + Grade Pay [50 % of Rs. 46300/- (Rs.37400+Rs.8900)]." Hence, the appeal has been disposed of.</p>		
SN.	Action Taken	Date of Action	Action Taken By	Remarks
1	FIRST APPEAL RECEIVED	03/04/2023		
2	APPEAL FORWARDED TO CONCERNED FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY	04/04/2023	Nodal Officer	Online
3	APPEAL DISPOSED OF	27/04/2023	FAA - P A Shirke Chief Scientist	
<div>Print</div>				