

**Progress Report under Right to Information Act 2005 of CSIR-NBRI
(Period April 1, 2020 to June 30, 2020)**

a) Details of applications received by CPIO [including transfer from other PAs]

S.N	Application Registration No.	Name of Applicant	Nature of Application	Date of Receiving Application	PIO's reply Date	Name of CPIO
1	NBRIL/R/T/20/00005	Jacob Koshy	Electronically transferred from other PA	07-May-20	03-Jun-20	RN Gupta
2	NBRIL/R/X/20/00006	Ankur Paliwal	Electronically transferred from other PA	09-May-20	08-Jun-20	Rajiv Kumar Verma
3	CSIRH/R/E/20/00001	Ravi prakash Sharma	Offline Transferred from Other PA	13-May-20	12-Jun-20	Rajiv Kumar Verma
4	NBRIL/R/T/20/00007	Tishant Koshle	Electronically transferred from other PA	22-May-20	17-Jun-20	Rajiv Kumar Verma
5	NBRIL/R/T/20/00006	Keshvendra Pratap Singh Sengar	Electronically transferred from other PA	22-May-20	19-Jun-20	Rajiv Kumar Verma
6	NBRIL/R/E/20/00019	Adarsh Kumar M B	Online Direct receipt	21-Jun-20	Under process	Rajiv Kumar Verma
7	RTI/NBRI/S&T/2020-21/01	SN Chaurasia	Offline Direct receipt	22-Jun-20	26-Jun-20	RN Gupta
8	NBRIL/R/T/20/00008	Thirumalai Dasan K	Electronically transferred from other PA	26-Jun-20	30-Jun-20	RN Gupta
9	NBRIL/R/X/20/00008 to 00011	Hol Singh	Electronically transferred from other PA	29-Jun-20	Under process	Rajiv Kumar Verma
10	NBRIL/R/E/20/ADMIN/03	Sudershan Kumar	Offline Direct receipt	26-Feb-20	26-Jun-20	Rajiv Kumar Verma
11	NBRIL/R/E/20/ADMIN/02	Sudershan Kumar	Offline Direct receipt	26-Feb-20	26-Jun-20	Rajiv Kumar Verma

12	NBRI/S&P/202 0818	Abhinav Nath Tripathi	Online Direct receipt	28-Feb-20	11-May-20	Dinesh Kumar
13	NBRI/R/E/20/ 00016	Ravi	Online Direct receipt	28-Feb-20	05-Jun-20	Rajiv Kumar Verma
14	NBRIL/S&P/20 20-17	Sudershan Kumar	Offline Direct receipt	28-Feb-20	29-Jun-20	Dinesh Kumar
15	NBRI/R/E/20/ 00017	Ravi	Online Direct receipt	29-Feb-20	22-May-20	Rajiv Kumar Verma
16	NBRIL/R/X/20 /00003	Sudershan Kumar	Electronically transferred from other PA	06-Mar-20	28-May-20	Rajiv Kumar Verma
17	NBRIL/R/E/20 /00018	Sachin Mehrotra	Online Direct receipt	08-Mar-20	28-Jun-20	Rajiv Kumar Verma

- b) Number of decisions where applicants were not entitled to access the documents pursuant to the requests, the provision of the Act under which these decisions were made and the number of times such provisions were invoked: **One [8(1)(j)]**
- c) The number of appeals referred to First Appellate Authority: **11 (Eleven)**
- d) The number of appeals referred to Central Information Commission for review, the nature of appeals and the outcome of appeals: **NIL**
- e) Details of disciplinary action taken against any officer in respect of administration of this Act: **NIL**
- f) Amount of charges collected by Public Authority under this Act.
Registration fees collected u/s 7(1): **20/-**
Addl. Fee collected u/s 7(3): **NIL**



 10/7/2020
 (RN Gupta)
 Central Public Information Officer (S&T Matters)

(Period April 1, 2020 to June 30, 2020)

B. Details of first appeals received under Right to Information Act 2005

SN	Appeal Registration No. and Corresponding RTI application No.	Name of Appellant	Address of Appellant	Information sought in brief	Date of Receiving of First Appeal in CSIR-NBRI	Decision of Appellate Authority and its pursuance in brief (Please attached the related documents)	Date of Second Appeal, if any
1.	NBRIL/A/E/20/0001 dated 05.04.2020 And NBRIL/R/E/20/0005 dated 11.02.2020	Ravi Shanker Chaudhary	D-6, CSIR Colony, Nirala Nagar, Lucknow	Regarding the departmental noting and documents	April 05, 2020	Reply is attached (Annexure 1)	-
2.	NBRIL/A/E/20/0002 dated 06.04.2020 And NBRIL/R/E/20/0010 dated 15.02.2020	Sachin Mehrotra	C-50, CSIR Colony, Nirala Nagar, Lucknow	Regarding the departmental noting and documents	April 06, 2020	Reply is attached (Annexure 2)	-
3.	NBRIL/A/E/20/0003 dated 07.04.2020 And NBRIL/R/E/20/0007 dated 11.02.2020	Sachin Mehrotra	C-50, CSIR Colony, Nirala Nagar, Lucknow	Regarding the departmental noting and documents	April 07, 2020	Reply is attached (Annexure 3)	-
4.	NBRIL/A/E/20/0004 dated 09.04.2020 And NBRIL/R/E/20/0008 dated 13.02.2020	Sachin Mehrotra	C-50, CSIR Colony, Nirala Nagar, Lucknow	Regarding the departmental noting and documents	April 09, 2020	Reply is attached (Annexure 4)	-
5.	NBRIL/A/E/20/0005 dated 10.04.2020 And NBRIL/R/E/20/0011 dated 15.02.2020	Sachin Mehrotra	C-50, CSIR Colony, Nirala Nagar, Lucknow	Regarding the departmental noting and documents	April 10, 2020	Reply is attached (Annexure 5)	-
6.	NBRIL/A/E/20/0006 dated	Ravi Shanker	D-6, CSIR Colony,	Regarding the	April 10, 2020	Reply is attached	-

	10.04.2020 And NBRIL/R/E/20/0 0009 dated 14.02.2020	Chaudhary	Nirala Nagar, Lucknow	department al noting and documents		(Annexure 6)	
7.	NBRIL/A/E/20/0 0007 dated 10.04.2020 And NBRIL/R/E/20/0 0015 dated 14.02.2020	Ravi Shanker Chaudhary	D-6, CSIR Colony, Nirala Nagar, Lucknow	Regarding the department al noting and documents	April 10, 2020	Reply is attached (Annexure 7)	-
8.	NBRIL/A/E/20/0 0008 dated 10.04.2020 And NBRIL/R/E/20/0 0006 dated 13.02.2020	Ravi Shanker Chaudhary	D-6, CSIR Colony, Nirala Nagar, Lucknow	Regarding the department al noting and documents	April 10, 2020	Reply is attached (Annexure 8)	-
9.	NBRIL/A/E/20/0 0009 dated 11.04.2020 And NBRIL/R/E/20/0 0012 dated 15.02.2020	Sachin Mehrotra	C-50, CSIR Colony, Nirala Nagar, Lucknow	Regarding the department al noting and documents	April 10, 2020	Reply is attached (Annexure 9)	-
10.	NBRIL/A/E/20/0 0010 dated 03.06.2020 And NBRIL/R/E/20/0 0018 dated 08.03.2020	Sachin Mehrotra	C-50, CSIR Colony, Nirala Nagar, Lucknow	Regarding the department al noting and documents	April 10, 2020	Reply is attached (Annexure 10)	-
11.	NBRIL/A/E/20/0 0011 dated 14.04.2020 (By Email) And NBRIL/R/E/20/0 0052 dated 15.02.2020	Sachin Mehrotra	C-50, CSIR Colony, Nirala Nagar, Lucknow	Regarding the ACR	April 14, 2020	Reply is attached (Annexure 11)	-


 Signature of FAA with date 09/07/2020

ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.NBRIL/A/E/20/00001

Applicant Name	Ravi			
Text of Appeal	Sir, Please find the detail request from supporting document.			
Reply of Appeal	<p>"The information seeker, being an employee of the respondent, is a part of the information provider. Under the RTI, the employees are not expected to question the decisions of the superior officers in the garb of seeking information. Such employees have access to internal mechanisms for redressal of their grievances. The information seeker and the provider being part of the same system should work together for evolving approaches to remove irritants in their mutual interaction. They ought to exercise restraints in misusing the Act, lest they should dilute the mandate of RTI Act to empower the common man."</p>			
SN.	Action Taken	Date of Action	Action Taken By	Remarks
1	FIRST APPEAL RECEIVED	05/04/2020		
2	APPEAL FORWARDED TO CONCERNED FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY	15/04/2020	Nodal Officer	Online
3	APPEAL DISPOSED OF	19/06/2020	FAA - P A Shirke	
<input type="button" value="Print"/>				

ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.NBRIL/A/E/20/00002

Applicant Name		SACHIN MEHROTRA		
Text of Appeal		Kindly see the attached file		
Reply of Appeal		<p>"The information seeker, being an employee of the respondent, is a part of the information provider. Under the RTI, the employees are not expected to question the decisions of the superior officers in the garb of seeking information. Such employees have access to internal mechanisms for redressal of their grievances. The information seeker and the provider being part of the same system should work together for evolving approaches to remove irritants in their mutual interaction. They ought to exercise restraints in misusing the Act, lest they should dilute the mandate of RTI Act to empower the common man."</p>		
SN.	Action Taken	Date of Action	Action Taken By	Remarks
1	FIRST APPEAL RECEIVED	06/04/2020		
2	APPEAL FORWARDED TO CONCERNED FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY	15/04/2020	Nodal Officer	Online
3	APPEAL DISPOSED OF	19/06/2020	FAA - P A Shirke	
<div>Print</div>				

ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.NBRIL/A/E/20/00003

Applicant Name		SACHIN MEHROTRA		
Text of Appeal		Kindly peruse the attached pdf document		
Reply of Appeal		<p>"The information seeker, being an employee of the respondent, is a part of the information provider. Under the RTI, the employees are not expected to question the decisions of the superior officers in the garb of seeking information. Such employees have access to internal mechanisms for redressal of their grievances. The information seeker and the provider being part of the same system should work together for evolving approaches to remove irritants in their mutual interaction. They ought to exercise restraints in misusing the Act, lest they should dilute the mandate of RTI Act to empower the common man."</p>		
SN.	Action Taken	Date of Action	Action Taken By	Remarks
1	FIRST APPEAL RECEIVED	07/04/2020		
2	APPEAL FORWARDED TO CONCERNED FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY	15/04/2020	Nodal Officer	Online
3	APPEAL DISPOSED OF	19/06/2020	FAA - P A Shirke	
<div>Print</div>				

ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.NBRIL/A/E/20/00004

Applicant Name		SACHIN MEHROTRA		
Text of Appeal		Kindly find the attached document below.		
Reply of Appeal		<p>"The information seeker, being an employee of the respondent, is a part of the information provider. Under the RTI, the employees are not expected to question the decisions of the superior officers in the garb of seeking information. Such employees have access to internal mechanisms for redressal of their grievances. The information seeker and the provider being part of the same system should work together for evolving approaches to remove irritants in their mutual interaction. They ought to exercise restraints in misusing the Act, lest they should dilute the mandate of RTI Act to empower the common man."</p>		
SN.	Action Taken	Date of Action	Action Taken By	Remarks
1	FIRST APPEAL RECEIVED	09/04/2020		
2	APPEAL FORWARDED TO CONCERNED FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY	15/04/2020	Nodal Officer	Online
3	APPEAL DISPOSED OF	19/06/2020	FAA - P A Shirke	
<div>Print</div>				

ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.NBRIL/A/E/20/00005

Applicant Name		SACHIN MEHROTRA		
Text of Appeal		Kindly find the attached document below		
Reply of Appeal		<p>"The information seeker, being an employee of the respondent, is a part of the information provider. Under the RTI, the employees are not expected to question the decisions of the superior officers in the garb of seeking information. Such employees have access to internal mechanisms for redressal of their grievances. The information seeker and the provider being part of the same system should work together for evolving approaches to remove irritants in their mutual interaction. They ought to exercise restraints in misusing the Act, lest they should dilute the mandate of RTI Act to empower the common man."</p>		
SN.	Action Taken	Date of Action	Action Taken By	Remarks
1	FIRST APPEAL RECEIVED	10/04/2020		
2	APPEAL FORWARDED TO CONCERNED FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY	15/04/2020	Nodal Officer	Online
3	APPEAL DISPOSED OF	19/06/2020	FAA - P A Shirke	
<div>Print</div>				

ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.NBRIL/A/E/20/00006

Applicant Name		Ravi		
Text of Appeal		Sir, The first appellate may kindly find the document for providing the information from supporting document file. With Regards Ravi Shanker Choudhary		
Reply of Appeal		<p>"The information seeker, being an employee of the respondent, is a part of the information provider. Under the RTI, the employees are not expected to question the decisions of the superior officers in the garb of seeking information. Such employees have access to internal mechanisms for redressal of their grievances. The information seeker and the provider being part of the same system should work together for evolving approaches to remove irritants in their mutual interaction. They ought to exercise restraints in misusing the Act, lest they should dilute the mandate of RTI Act to empower the common man."</p>		
SN.	Action Taken	Date of Action	Action Taken By	Remarks
1	FIRST APPEAL RECEIVED	10/04/2020		
2	APPEAL FORWARDED TO CONCERNED FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY	15/04/2020	Nodal Officer	Online
3	APPEAL DISPOSED OF	19/06/2020	FAA - P A Shirke	
<div>Print</div>				

ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.NBRIL/A/E/20/00007

Applicant Name	Ravi			
Text of Appeal	Sir, The first appellate may kindly find the document for providing the information from supporting document file. With Regards Ravi Shanker Choudhary			
Reply of Appeal	"The information seeker, being an employee of the respondent, is a part of the information provider. Under the RTI, the employees are not expected to question the decisions of the superior officers in the garb of seeking information. Such employees have access to internal mechanisms for redressal of their grievances. The information seeker and the provider being part of the same system should work together for evolving approaches to remove irritants in their mutual interaction. They ought to exercise restraints in misusing the Act, lest they should dilute the mandate of RTI Act to empower the common man."			
SN.	Action Taken	Date of Action	Action Taken By	Remarks
1	FIRST APPEAL RECEIVED	10/04/2020		
2	APPEAL FORWARDED TO CONCERNED FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY	15/04/2020	Nodal Officer	Online
3	APPEAL DISPOSED OF	19/06/2020	FAA - P A Shirke	
<input type="button" value="Print"/>				

ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.NBRIL/A/E/20/00008

Applicant Name		Ravi		
Text of Appeal		Sir, The first appellate may kindly find the document for providing the information from supporting document file. With Regards Ravi Shanker Choudhary		
Reply of Appeal		<p>"The information seeker, being an employee of the respondent, is a part of the information provider. Under the RTI, the employees are not expected to question the decisions of the superior officers in the garb of seeking information. Such employees have access to internal mechanisms for redressal of their grievances. The information seeker and the provider being part of the same system should work together for evolving approaches to remove irritants in their mutual interaction. They ought to exercise restraints in misusing the Act, lest they should dilute the mandate of RTI Act to empower the common man."</p>		
SN.	Action Taken	Date of Action	Action Taken By	Remarks
1	FIRST APPEAL RECEIVED	10/04/2020		
2	APPEAL FORWARDED TO CONCERNED FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY	15/04/2020	Nodal Officer	Online
3	APPEAL DISPOSED OF	19/06/2020	FAA - P A Shirke	
<div>Print</div>				

ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.NBRIL/A/E/20/00009

Applicant Name		SACHIN MEHROTRA		
Text of Appeal		Kindly find the attached document below.		
Reply of Appeal		<p>"The information seeker, being an employee of the respondent, is a part of the information provider. Under the RTI, the employees are not expected to question the decisions of the superior officers in the garb of seeking information. Such employees have access to internal mechanisms for redressal of their grievances. The information seeker and the provider being part of the same system should work together for evolving approaches to remove irritants in their mutual interaction. They ought to exercise restraints in misusing the Act, lest they should dilute the mandate of RTI Act to empower the common man."</p>		
SN.	Action Taken	Date of Action	Action Taken By	Remarks
1	FIRST APPEAL RECEIVED	11/04/2020		
2	APPEAL FORWARDED TO CONCERNED FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY	15/04/2020	Nodal Officer	Online
3	APPEAL DISPOSED OF	19/06/2020	FAA - P A Shirke	
<div>Print</div>				

ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.NBRIL/A/E/20/00010

Applicant Name	SACHIN MEHROTRA			
Text of Appeal	Sir, No response is received yet regarding my RTI application even after a lapse of nearly three months. It is requested kindly to provide me with the information sought at the earliest possible as the information is required by the undersigned for presenting his case to the higher authorities regarding the case of rejection of representation dated 09.09.2019 by the Competent Authority. With regards, sachin Mehrotra C-50, CSIR Colony Nirala nagar, Lko-226020			
Reply of Appeal	अपीलकर्ता द्वारा मूल आर.टी.आई सं. एनबीआरआईएल/आर/ई/20/00018 दिनांक 08.03.2020 (ऑनलाइन पोर्टल के द्वारा) के संबंध में प्रस्तुत अपील संख्या एनबीआरआईएल/ए/ई/20/00010 के अंतर्गत, अपीलकर्ता द्वारा मूल आर टी आई आवेदन में बिंदु सं 1 से 8 के संदर्भ में मांगी गयी सूचनाये के लिए निम्नलिखित निर्देश दिए जा रहे हैं: मूल आर टी आई आवेदन का बिंदु सं-1- आरटीआई अधिनियम की धारा 8 (1) (j) के अंतर्गत सूचना प्रकट नहीं की जा सकती है। मूल आर टी आई आवेदन का बिंदु सं-2 से 8- सूचना एसीआर सेल में विभिन्न फाइलों में उपलब्ध हैं तथा अपीलकर्ता से अनुरोध है कि वह किसी भी कार्यदिवस में आकर अवलोकन कर सकता है एवं जो दस्तावेज/सूचना की प्रति आवश्यक हैं उसका नियमानुसार शुल्क जमा करके प्राप्त कर सकता है। अतः प्रथम अपील उपरोक्त निर्णय के आधार पर तदनुसार निस्तारित की जाती है।			
SN.	Action Taken	Date of Action	Action Taken By	Remarks
1	FIRST APPEAL RECEIVED	03/06/2020		
2	APPEAL FORWARDED TO CONCERNED FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY	04/06/2020	Nodal Officer	Online
3	APPEAL DISPOSED OF	23/06/2020	FAA - P A Shirke	
<input type="button" value="Print"/>				



सीएसआईआर - राष्ट्रीय वनस्पति अनुसंधान संस्थान, लखनऊ
CSIR - NATIONAL BOTANICAL RESEARCH INSTITUTE, LUCKNOW



डॉ. पी ए शिर्के

मुख्य वैज्ञानिक एवं प्रथम अपीलीय अधिकारी

(आर.टी.आई. एक्ट 2005)

फोन नं: 0522-2297928; ई-मेल: pashirke@nbri.res.in

दिनांक: 23.06.2020

ग: सीएसआईआर-एनबीआरआई/आरटीआई/एफएफ/2020-13

प्रथम अपीलीय प्राधिकारी के समक्ष (एफ.ए.ए.)

(मूचना अधिकार अधिनियम 2005 के अन्तर्गत)

सीएसआईआर-राष्ट्रीय वनस्पति अनुसंधान संस्थान, लखनऊ

अपील संख्या - 13/2020

पार्टीज:-

अपीलकर्ता

प्रतिवादी

श्री सोचिन महरोत्रा,

गो. 50, सीएसआईआर कॉलोनी, निगला नगर
लखनऊ 226020

श्री राजीव कुमार वर्मा, जन मूचना अधिकारी

(प्रशासन), सीएसआईआर, एनबीआरआई, लखनऊ
226001

प्रथम अपीलीय अधिकारी

डॉ. पी ए शिर्के

मुख्य वैज्ञानिक

तथ्य:

श्री सचिन महरोत्रा, गो. 50, सीएसआईआर कॉलोनी, निगला नगर लखनऊ 226020 ने अपने मूल आर.टी.आई. आवेदन एनबीआरआईएल/आर/ई/20/00052 दिनांक 15.02.2020 (सीएसआईआर मुख्यालय से संस्थान को स्थानांतरित दिनांक 19.02.2020), के संदर्भ में प्रथम अपील संख्या एनबीआरआईएल/आर/ई/20/00011 दिनांक 14.04.2020 (ऑनलाइन ई-मेल द्वारा) एनबीआरआई, लखनऊ के समक्ष प्रस्तुत किया है।

अपील के आधार:

अपीलकर्ता ने प्रस्तुत अपील में उल्लेखित किया है कि मूल आर.टी.आई. एनबीआरआईएल/आर/ई/20/00052 दिनांक 15.02.2020 (सीएसआईआर मुख्यालय से संस्थान को स्थानांतरित दिनांक 19.02.2020) के संदर्भ में अपीलकर्ता पुनः शिकायत मारी गयी है। (प्रति मलग्र)

तथ्य:

अपीलकर्ता ने मूल आर.टी.आई. में मूल आर.टी.आई. एनबीआरआईएल/आर/ई/20/00052 दिनांक 15.02.2020 (सीएसआईआर मुख्यालय से संस्थान को स्थानांतरित दिनांक 19.02.2020) के द्वारा आर.टी.आई. हेतु आवेदन किया था। अपीलकर्ता के उपरोक्त आर.टी.आई. आवेदन के अनुक्रम में इस संस्थान के श्री राजीव कुमार वर्मा, जन मूचना अधिकारी (प्रशासन), सीएसआईआर, एनबीआरआई, लखनऊ 226001 द्वारा दिनांक 18.03.2020 को अपीलकर्ता को उत्तर दिया गया था।

निर्णय


अपीलकर्ता द्वारा मूल आर.टी.आई. एनबीआरआईएल/आर/ई/20/00052 दिनांक 15.02.2020 (सीएसआईआर मुख्यालय से संस्थान को स्थानांतरित दिनांक 19.02. 2020) के संबंध में प्रस्तुत अपील संख्या एनबीआरआईएल/आर/ई/20/00011 के अंतर्गत निम्नलिखित निर्णय दिया गया है।

सूचना के संरक्षकों द्वारा उपलब्ध करायी गयी सूचना के अनुसार मांगी गयी सूचना संस्थान में उपलब्ध नहीं हैं क्योंकि उक्त एनबीआर, सीएसआईआर, नई दिल्ली को भेज दिए गए हैं।

अतः प्रथम अपील उपरोक्त निर्णय के आधार पर तदनुसार निस्तारित की जाती है।

यदि आप उपरोक्त उत्तर से संतुष्ट न हों, तो प्रथम अपीलीय अधिकारी, सीएसआईआर मुख्यालय से सम्पर्क कर सकते हैं।
सम्पर्क करने हेतु नाम एवं पता निम्न प्रकार है:-

प्रथम अपीलीय अधिकारी, सीएसआईआर मुख्यालय, अनुसंधान भवन, 2, रफी मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110001


23/06/2020
(पी ए शिक्का)

थान:- लखनऊ

दिनांक 23 जून, 2020

प्रथम अपीलीय प्राधिकारी

सीएसआईआर-एनबीआरआई, लखनऊ

वा में,

II राबिन महाराजा, ग्री-50, सीएसआईआर कॉलोनी, निगला नगर लखनऊ 226020

ति:

1. श्री राजीव कुमार वर्मा, जन सूचना अधिकारी (प्रशासन), सीएसआईआर, एनबीआरआई, लखनऊ 226001